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connectivity_network A bipartite network of methods included in the conserveR package linked by shared cited references. Used for visualization in map_selection

Description

connectivity_network A bipartite network of methods included in the conserveR package linked by shared cited references. Used for visualization in map_selection

Usage

connectivity_network

Format

An object of class network of length 5.

data.frame

edge An example dataset of the output of find_method. Conservation prioritization methods prioritized by fit to an example set of data requirements.

Description

data.frame

edge An example dataset of the output of find_method. Conservation prioritization methods prioritized by fit to an example set of data requirements.

Usage

data.frame

edge

Format

An object of class data.frame with 134 rows and 9 columns.
find_method  Identify Most Suitable Methods for Conservation Prioritization

Description

Identifies suitable methods for conservation prioritization based on a user dialogue on conservation targets and data availability.

Usage

find_method(ranking = "both", weights = NULL)

Arguments

- ranking: character string. The methods used for ranking the methods. See details. One of "both", "strict", "inclusive". Default = "both".
- weights: named list. Provide numeric values to weight questions differently. See details.

Details

Based on the ranking argument, the conservation prioritization methods in the database are ranked according to the user-provided information. If ranking = "strict" methods receive one point for each full agreement with user reply (yes and no), if ranking = "inclusive", methods get one point when they include a feature confirmed by the user (but non for not including it). This means that ranking = "inclusive" will likely return more general methods that can include many different types of data and perspectives. If ranking = "both", methods are first ranked as in strict and then equal ranks split by the inclusive ranking.

The weight argument allows to change the weighting of individual questions relative to the others. The names of the list follow names(traits), from “scale” (for question 1) to “includes_simulation” (for question 17). The weights may include any numbers of questions. See examples

Value

a data.frame containing potentially suitable spatial conservation prioritization methods order by goodness of fit according to the user-selected algorithm (best fit on top). Furthermore prints the three most suitable methods to screen.

Examples

```r
## Not run:
find_method()
#double weight to question 3 and 15
find_method(weights = list(phylogeny = 2, vulnerability = 2))
## End(Not run)
```
**literature**

**Literature**

**Description**

Literature references for all conservation prioritization methods listed in `traits`, in bib2format.

**Usage**

`literature`

**Format**

An object of class `tbl_df` (inherits from `tbl`, `data.frame`) with 134 rows and 43 columns.

---

**map_selection**

**Map Selected Methods to Context**

**Description**

Maps methods selected with `find_method` to the trait space and/or citation network of methods included in `conserveR`, to identify further similar methods.

**Usage**

`map_selection(x, num = 3, type = "both")`

**Arguments**

- `x` data.frame. As produced by `find_method`.
- `num` numerical. The number of top ranking methods to highlight.
- `type` character. The type of plot, either “mca” for the results of a multiple correspondence analyses of the trait space, “citation” for the citation network, or “both” for both.

**Value**

A plot highlighting the position of the best fitting methods in the context of all conservation prioritization methods included in the package. Includes two subplots

1. a multidimensional trait space resulting from a multiple correspondence analysis,
2. a citation network linking different methods by shred scientific publications.

**Examples**

```r
data(edge)
map_selection(edge)
```
Description

Results of a multiple correspondence analysis of all methods included in the conserveR package based on the traits data set. Used for visualization in map_selection.

Usage

mca_results

Format

An object of class tbl_df (inherits from tbl, data.frame) with 134 rows and 7 columns.

Description

The dataset of conservation prioritization methods for relevant for macro-evolution and macro-ecology including information on data needs, "method traits" and met-data

Usage

traits

Format

A data frame with thirty-one variables:

author  The last name of the first author.
year  The publication year.
acronym  The acronym of the method as suggested by the authors, or a custom one if there was none available
method_name  The full name of the method as suggested by the authors.
scale
scalability  A qualitative assessment how scalable the methods are to large-scale analyses with hundreds of species or global extent.
implementation_standard  How is the method implemented/how can it be used by people, i.e. a software or similar that anyone that would like to use the method could use. "none" if no implementation exists.
target  The target of the method. Either "species" or "area".
**traits**

**scope_terrestrial** Can the method be applied to terrestrial species/systems? 1 = yes, 0 = no.

**scope_marine** Can the method be applied to marine species/systems? 1 = yes, 0 = no.

**scope_limnic** Can the method be applied to limnic species/systems? 1 = yes, 0 = no.

**phylogeny** Does the method include evolutionary aspects (i.e. does it at any point use a phylogeny as input) to prioritize conservation efforts? 1 = yes, 0 = no.

**distribution** Does the method include distribution aspects of species (i.e. any type of distribution information as input, e.g. species ranges, grid-cell occupancy or occurrence records) to prioritize conservation? 1 = yes, 0 = no.

**functional** Does the method include functional aspects of species (i.e. functional traits as input data) to prioritize conservation? 1 = yes, 0 = no.

**rarity** Does the method include species’ rarity or commonness (i.e. species abundances as input data) to prioritize conservation? 1 = yes, 0 = no.

**pop_dynamics** Does the method include changes in species’ population density through time? 1 = yes, 0 = no.

**genetics** Does the method include genetic aspects (i.e. sequence data as input)? For example genetic diversity. 1 = yes, 0 = no.

**ecosystem_services** Does the method include the importance of species or areas for ecosystem services to prioritize conservation? 1 = yes, 0 = no.

**socio_economic** Does the method include socio-economic values of species or areas to prioritize conservation effort? 1 = yes, 0 = no.

**landscape_connectivity** Does the method include landscape connectivity to prioritize conservation effort? 1 = yes, 0 = no.

**land_use** Does the method include land use factors (i.e. land use data, for instance modeled or remotely sensed) for conservation prioritization? 1 = yes, 0 = no.

**protected_area** Does the method include protected areas in some way to prioritize conservation effort? 1 = yes, 0 = no.

**extinction_risk** Does the method include species extinction risk in any way (i.e. the International Union for the Conservation of Nature assessment categories as input data) for conservation prioritization? 1 = yes, 0 = no.

**environment** Does the method include environmental variables (e.g., modeled precipitation, species niche or worldclim data as input data)?

**vulnerability** Does the method include assessments of the vulnerability of species or areas to specific threats, for instance pollution, hunting or logging? 1 = yes, 0 = no

**climate_change** Does the method include climate change as explicit factor for conservation prioritization?

**includes_simulation** Does the method include the possibility to conduct simulations?

**free_text_description**

**DOI/link** The digital object identifier or link to a scientific publication.

**ID** The ID to link with the literature

**example_taxon_standard** On which taxon was the method developed/tested?

**example_area_standard** In which area was the method developed/tested?
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